

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCY, United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/678,349	10/03/2003	Leah J. Vanpoelvoorde	P02733	P02733 1809	
28548	7590 12/08/2004		EXAMINER		
STONEMAN LAW OFFICES, LTD			JOHNSON, BLAIR M		
3113 NORTH PHOENIX, A	H 3RD STREET AZ 85012	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3634		
			DATE MAIL ED: 12/08/200	DATE MAILED: 12/08/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/678,349	VANPOELVOORDE, LEAH J.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Blair M. Johnson	3634				
- The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u></u> .					
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☑ Thi	s action is non-final.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		, ,				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E		, ,				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PT∩_413\				
2) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da					

Application/Control Number: 10/678,349

Art Unit: 3634

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-5,7,9-13,15 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Antich.

See Figs. 4 and 5. See strip 64 and backing 50. One strip is "along" the length of the bar while both are also located at the ends of the bar. Adjustment is accomplished by cutting the cushion. The locator is the clip 50.

Claims 1,3-5,7-13 and 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Pratt.

See bottom rail 69, cushion 75, and backing 57,71-73, attached thereto via screws 58,59. The cushion 75 faces the window stool and is located in a channel wherein friction is present. Adjustment is accomplished by cutting the cushion. The locator is the edge of the backing which aligns with the edge of the bottom bar.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either Antich or Pratt.

The elements of the kit are discussed above. Packages with labels identifying their contents and instructions are notoriously well known. It would have been obvious to provide such for the shade and/or the sound attenuating system of these references. The "excess" recited reads on any amount of material which may be removed while permitting the cushion to still operate.

Regarding claims 19 and 20, the standard method of selling any product, both custom and stock, is to provide to retailers and customers information of their cost, how to acquire the product, and specifics (size, color, etc.). The term "listing" is met by written lists as well as an audible conversation discussing available products.

Claims 6 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pratt.

The use of adhesive instead of screws would have been an obvious expedient well within the purview of one of ordinary skill in the art so as to obviate the need for a drill, other tools and holes in the bottom bar.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Blair M. Johnson whose telephone number is (703) 308-0526. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri., 6:30-3:00.

Application/Control Number: 10/678,349 Page 4

Art Unit: 3634

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lanna Mai can be reached on (703) 308-2486. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Blair M. Johnson Primary #xaminer

Art Unit 3634

BMJ 11/29/04